12/15/74

Dear Jon,

As soon as I could after the copy of Wecht's Modern Medicine promotion of 10/28/74 came I read it because you said you were going to call. I marked it up so I could be specific with you if you asked questions. I appreciate getting it because it tells me that there is nothing new and Cyril is till promoting his malpractise business with the JFK assassination.

I do hate to have to say such things. But I hate more that they are true. Even some ~~the~~ of the stuff he says was not released until 1973 (with a strong suggestion that he caused it) I had, wrote about and carried further years ago. It simply was not secret until 1973 in all files. The trouble is that Cyril never really did any work and can't think of the business he'd lose if he did.

It is possible to make further efforts along the lines of disclosure and as best I can I am making them. Have in the past, although you'd never know from this peice that I'd sued for the spectro (with him also refusing to be a witness in another case because it would cost him too much - but I got what I wanted espite him). You'd never know from his late October piece that this suit figured in Congressional debates and is the major cause for revision of the FOI exemption on investigatory files. Only Cyril exists in his writing and only he did any work. The truth is he learned less from seeing the autopsy material that he did from reading the first two of three parts of a book I wrote on it and what relates years earlier. If you think this is just talk, when you are here you can read that and the correspondence and much more. It is all painfully true.

I have noted the parts you marked. Where I can comment briefly before filing this so the stack won't keep growing I'll do it.

Even the simplest facts are not fact. The FBI didn't recover all the ballistics materials in his or any other version. It is true that if some materials not available were made available it would be helpful. But nothing he mentions isn't available by another means and what is a more egregious error for one from his discipline he has to this day never reported what wasn't done and should have been. The questions he addresses middle of middle column, 1st page, are all answered in other ways only he doesn't know the material. I had it written years ago, and definitively.

"I now believe that there has been a prolonged and willful cover-up of the Commission's failure by the government." Now? After 11 years? Not until now? And you marked that? Come now, ~~Jim~~ Jon! What were all the books about, beginning with my first of 9 years ago, almost 10?

To the bottom of the first col. 2d page I've commented in general. The bottom is childish. Why kid people with this kind of rubbish when it is by other evidence completely impossible for the Connally fragments to have come from 399?

3d page, col 1.bottom, that "I was astonished" part. I've had this for years and had it in a book at least three years ago. No big deal and not new. Only not published.

4th page, headed "Shedding more light." Do you think that it was just this way, that out of the goodness of their hearts this transcript was just "released?" You know better and this ought cue you in. It is typical and permeating. My view is that this kind of writing is plain dishonest. And deceptive in making the bums look good.

Col 2. Old stuff except to him.

Col. 3, substituted autopsy report. False, of Epstein origin. There is no room for question on this and I have and have written the proof. I also have chains of possession.

"I am forced to conclude that the Justice Department is covering up the Commission's failure to solve the case." Well, the oracle has spoken. Sleep in peace, without worry. After 11 years and all that has been written and sued for and spoken about the great man has finally been #forced to conclude" that Justice is covering up. Odd that he couldn't think it was covering <u>itself</u>.

You are young. You'll learn. But I do believe that your own critical reading of this piece should at least have given you suspicions. Including why the medical profession that gets this word gets no other name and is led to believe that he alone has done this great work. What better reason to refer malpractise cases to him? I'll do nothing with this piece except file it for archival value in the future to new young people. I have no desire to garrot Cyril in public but I detest the harm he does. Thanks and best,